

EDITORIAL STATEMENT

General rules

Corpus – Grammar – Axiology Journal (KGA) is a peer-reviewed academic journal focused on corpus research in natural languages, especially their grammar, and on the evaluation of the features examined from the point of view of their systemic status, frequency, grammaticality, style values and other communication values.

The journal publishes original papers that have not been published in any other academic periodical and that are intended to be published exclusively in KGA.

KGA publishes papers written in Czech, Slovak, English and German. All papers submitted as articles shall contain an abstract in English. All articles written in other languages than Czech shall also contain an abstract in Czech. All articles shall contain key words in Czech and in English.

Name of the journal

The journal name is unique and cannot be confused with other journal(s), neither can mislead potential authors and readers about the journal's origin or association with other journals.

Scientific Editorial Board

The members of Scientific editorial board are recognized experts in linguistics, mainly in grammar research of Czech, German and English; the full names and affiliations of the members and contact information for the editorial office are provided on our journal's web site and in the journal itself.

Author's responsibilities

Authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and provide retractions or corrections of mistakes. They should provide a list of references. Authors should also provide the source of financial support of the research the submitted paper refers to. It is forbidden to publish same research in more than one journal.

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

Research Integrity

The background of submitted papers should be honesty, thoroughness and excellence in research practice.

Editorial Process

Editorial decisions on articles submitted to our journal are based on independent peer review reports.

The submission of papers

Manuscripts submitted are to be e-mailed to the e-mail address of the editorial board: kga@ujc.cas.cz. Manuscripts have to include the authors' full name, the name and postal address of their affiliation, and also an e-mail address for e-mail communication. If an paper is written by co-authors, the editorial board usually communicates with the one who has submitted the article. The editorial board will confirm receiving the manuscript and notify the author whether his/her contribution has been accepted for the review procedure. The editorial board reserves the right not to accept such a manuscript for the review procedure which does not comply with the profile of the journal and does not correspond with the guidelines published in the journal or on the journal's website.

Peer Review

The paper intended to be published as an article that has been accepted for the review procedure is forwarded for consideration to two independent experts from institutions different from the authors' affiliation. These may be members of the editorial board as well as other qualified experts from various linguistic institutions.

Reviewed articles are treated confidentially prior to their publication. Both the reviewers are provided with an anonymised version of the article and the authors receive the review without stating the reviewer's name. Together with a paper to be considered, the reviewers receive from the editorial board a blank review form; on page 1, which is provided to the editorial board only, the reviewer assesses in single points the academic quality of the text, its originality, language, composition and style and also how relevant the contribution is for the journal. On the basis of the assessment, the reviewer either a) recommends the contribution to be published, or b) rejects it as low-quality or inappropriate for KGA, or c) recommends it to be revised and submitted as an amended version. Reviewers justify their decision and communicate their objections on page 2, which is submitted to the author.

A paper is accepted for publication on the basis of two recommendations. The author is invited by the editorial board to consider the objections of both reviewers and to revise the paper according to the comments the author considers relevant. Revising the paper is recommended but not demanded. If one of the reviewers or both of them recommend the text to be revised, the author is invited to amend it according to the objections presented in the review. The amended version is assessed again either by the previous reviewer/reviewers who have required revisions, or by another independent expert (experts). If none of the reviewers has recommended the paper to be published, the paper is rejected by the editorial board. If the paper is recommended by one reviewer and rejected by the other, i.e. if the paper is assessed as excellent, very good or satisfactory by one reviewer and as low-quality or irrelevant by the other reviewer, the journal's editor-in-chief decides whether a) the paper will be rejected, or whether b) an additional reviewer will be invited to assess the paper.

Contributions intended to be published as reviews and news will be considered by a member of the editorial board who notifies the board whether he/she recommends the contribution to be published, or rejects it, and briefly justifies his/her decision. If the person suggests revising the contribution, he/she will communicate the objections to the editorial board who will forward them to the author.

Editorial revision and proof-reading

The contributions accepted for publication are revised by the editorial board, the author being informed about all changes suggested, except for obvious errata. The final layout is forwarded to the authors for final proof-reading. After the authors' redaction the final layout is checked again.

Authorship

We expect that the corresponding author has the authority to act on behalf of all co-authors and we ask him/her to confirm this at the beginning of the publication process.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is defined as submitting as one's own work, irrespective of intent to deceive, that which derives in part or in its entirety from the work of others without due acknowledgement.

Examples of plagiarism include copying (using another person's language and/or ideas as if they are one's own), by:

- quoting verbatim another person's work without due acknowledgement of the source;

- paraphrasing another person's work by changing some of the words, or the order of the

- words without due acknowledgement of the source;

- using ideas taken from someone else without reference to the originator;

- cutting and pasting from the Internet to make a pastiche of online sources;

- submitting someone else's work as part of one's own without identifying clearly who did the work.

Plagiarism might also arise from colluding with another person who has not been declared or acknowledged. Work should include a general acknowledgement where it has received substantial help, e. g. with the language and style of a piece of written work.

Plagiarism can occur in respect to all types of sources and media, including:

- tables, graphs, illustrations etc.;

material downloaded from websites or drawn from manuscripts or other media.

Submissions containing suspected plagiarism, in whole or part, will be rejected.

Duplicate and Redundant Publication

Duplicate or redundant publication, or 'self-plagiarism', occurs when a work, or substantial parts of a work, is published more than once by the author(s) of the work. This can be in the same or a different language. Redundant publication can occur when there is substantial overlap between two or more publications without appropriate cross-referencing or justification for the overlap.

Submissions containing duplicate or redundant information will be rejected.

Corrections

If an author is found to have made an error, the journal will issue a corrigendum. If the journal is found to have made an error, they will issue an erratum.

There are available guidelines for retracting or correcting articles when needed. We are willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

Fraudulent research and Research Misconduct

Any publication found to include fraudulent results will be retracted, or an appropriate correction or expression of concern will be issued.

Publishing schedule

The periodicity of the journal is indicated.

Copyright and access

Copyright and licensing information and the ways in which the journal and individual articles are available to readers are described on our journal's web site.

Archiving

The journal's plan for electronic backup and preservation of access to the journal content in the event the journal is no longer published is indicated on our website.

Ownership and management

Information about the ownership and management of our journal is indicated on our journal's web site.